Contributors

Owens, director of the Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law, Vienna University of Economics and Business. Experienced as counsel and legal on EU and African customs law. She has been recognized in the category Women in Tax Leaders by the International Tax Review since 2016.

Summary of Contents

Editors	v
Contributors	ix
Part I Introductory Remarks	1
Introduction André Pereira da Fonseca, Dário Moura Vicente, Mariana França Gouveia, Alexandra Nascimento Correia & Filipe Vaz Pinto	3
CHAPTER 1 Portugal as Strategic Seat for International Arbitration Dário Moura Vicente	5
CHAPTER 2 The Portuguese Voluntary Arbitration Law: History and Overview Filipe Vaz Pinto	17
Part II General Legal Framework	31
CHAPTER 3 Arbitrability Alexandra Nascimento Correia	33
CHAPTER 4 The Arbitration Agreement Paulo de Tarso Domingues	47

Summary of Contents

Summary of Contents	
CHAPTER 5 Jurisdiction Issues and State Courts Nuno Ferreira Lousa & Raquel Galvão Silva	63
CHAPTER 6 The Arbitral Tribunal António Pedro Pinto Monteiro & João Ilhão Moreira	81
CHAPTER 7 The Conduct of Arbitral Proceedings Ana Serra e Moura	97
CHAPTER 8 Third Parties, Cross Claims and Consolidation Carla Gonçalves Borges, Leonor van Lelyveld & António Pestana Araújo	115
CHAPTER 9 Evidence Sofia Ribeiro Mendes	131
CHAPTER 10 Interim Measures and Preliminary Orders Mariana França Gouveia & Matilde Líbano Monteiro	151
CHAPTER 11 The Arbitral Award Miguel Esperança Pina	169
CHAPTER 12 Choice of Law Issues Elsa Dias Oliveira	187
CHAPTER 13 Damages and Interest Rui Soares Pereira & Pacôme Ziegler	209
CHAPTER 14 Challenge of Arbitral Awards António Sampaio Caramelo	239
CHAPTER 15 Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards Guilherme Santos Silva	275

Chapter 16 Costs and Fees Duarte Gorjão Henriques	297
Part III Institutional and Specialized Forms of Arbitration in Portugal	317
CHAPTER 17 Commercial Arbitration Centres Sofia Martins	319
CHAPTER 18 Sports Arbitration André Pereira da Fonseca	331
CHAPTER 19 Administrative Arbitration Rui Medeiros & Marta Portocarrero	371
CHAPTER 20 Tax Arbitration Nuno Villa-Lobos & Tânia Carvalhais Pereira	415
CHAPTER 21 Arbitration and Intellectual Property Rights Joaquim Shearman de Macedo & Sofia Rebelo Ladeira	431
CHAPTER 22 Corporate Disputes Arbitration Rui Pereira Dias	449
Index	459

CHAPTER 19

Administrative Arbitration

Rui Medeiros & Marta Portocarrero

§19.01 THE PORTUGUESE CONSTITUTION'S OPENNESS TO ADMINISTRATIVE ARBITRATION

Since its first revision, approved in 1982, the Portuguese Constitution provides that 'there may be (...) arbitration tribunals (...)' and, since its second revision, concluded in 1989, also establishes the Parliament's exclusive competence to approve legal statutes ruling 'the organisation and competences of non-jurisdictional conflict settlement entities'.²

First, the Constitution's openness to arbitration also applies to administrative arbitration, there being no basis to claim that providing for the possibility of the existence of arbitral tribunals is intended only for private law arbitration based on private autonomy. On the one hand, the Constitution makes no distinction, and neither the legal precedents that can be drawn from comparison with the rules on arbitration in the earlier Portuguese constitutions³ nor the preparatory work itself reveal any intention of the constitutional legislator to limit the scope of this rule. On the other hand, just as private arbitration is in no way prevented by Article 211 (1) of the Constitution,⁴ Article 212 (3) of the Constitution,⁵ should be read in accordance with the constitutional rule that allows for arbitral tribunals. Therefore, there is nothing to

4. Which establishes that 'the courts of law are the general courts in civil and criminal matters and shall exercise jurisdiction in every area that is not allocated to other judicial orders'.

^{1.} Article 209 (2) of the Constitution.

^{2.} Article 165 (1) p), in fine, of the Constitution.

^{3.} Portuguese monarchical constitutions referred to arbitration 'in civil cases and in criminal cases initiated through civil means' (Art. 194 of the Constitution of 1822 - in the same vein, Art. 127 of the Constitutional Charter and Art. 123 § 3 of the Constitution of 1838). For their part, the Republican Constitution of 1911 (Art. 73) and the Constitution of 1933 (Art. 4, single §) alluded to 'arbitration as the best means of resolving international questions' or 'a means of resolving international disputes'.